

1 #1
 Affect on prop
 Least disruptive
 Traffic out of downtown
 ACCESS TO REC.
 ACCESS TO BUSINESSES
 Direct path
 Least affect on local traffic
 \$ on Hemet + Co.

#2 Western + *Priority points are important*
PRO **CON** **8 FOR**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Least pop area Most direct less impact on schools Better access to Winchester + Dingle Furthest from downtown Direct more green on West side (short cut to San Diego) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expensive property (high = low) Avoiding canal Expansive terrain (hill) Cultural resources Not good access to Hemet + Dingle area Conflict w/ existing Winnetka drive + 79
--	---

Central **12 FOR**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less impact on town (open space) could have Winnetka + Florida Equal distance to both ends of 79 good access for school in air side parking opening up downtown parking (where possible) Symbol of commercial development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> needs driveway access to Winchester for business + residents too far from Winchester
--	---

#3 OTHER CONCERNS

- Impacts on dairy farms (will they move)
- # of on-ramps / off-ramps (make sure there are not too many - just right)
- NOT LIKE WINCHESTER RD
- ADEQUATE ACCESS
- 6 LANES NOT 4: DO IT RIGHT FIRST TIME
- IS THIS GOING TO BE SLOW?
- HOW WILL IT AFFECT EXIST ROADS (CONSTRUCTING)
- Integration w/ Existing Roads
- EMMENT DOMAIN
- FIRST QUALITY PAVING DON'T GO CHEAP

Eastern **2 FOR**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DOES NOT GO THROUGH OUR TOWN ROADS THROUGH TOWN SLOWING 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on schools W. Winchester, HIS, A. B. B. 6 schools MOST POPULATED HAS BEST LAND ROAD THROUGH IN TOWN LOWEST COST TOO MUCH \$ TRAVELS THROUGH INTELLIGENCE TOO MUCH ROAD CONSTRUCTION CANNOT BRING AHEAD TO SYSTEM WILL BRING TO SYSTEM
--	---

Feedback for Breakout Group 1 Discussion
 Hemet Public Scoping Meeting
 State Route 79 Realignment Project

Source: Geographics

2) FACTORS (IMPORTANT)

- CONGESTION
- WANT LEAST IMPACT

COMMUNITY RELOCATION

- KEEP ROAD STRAIGHT
- SAFER ESPECIALLY SCHOOLS

OTHER CONCERNS

- DO NOT MAKE SOUTHWEST CORNER POINT OUTSIDE NEIGH.
- PROPERTY VALUES
- CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS
 - BUILD IN STAGES
- HOW LONG THE PROCESS IS TAKING
- CITIES + COR MAY NOT AGREE ON ALT.
- AS STRAIGHT AS POSSIBLE
- LIMITED ACCESS
- LIMIT CONNECTION POINTS

WESTERN

BENEFITS

- STAYS OUT OF CONGESTED AREAS
- MORE DIRECT ROUTE
- STAYS OUT OF NEIGHBORHOODS

DRAWBACKS

- BAD FOR WINCHESTER RESIDENTIAL RELOCATIONS
- IMPACTS FARMLANDS

EASTERN

BENEFITS

○

DRAWBACKS

- MOST POPULATED
- TOO MUCH TRAFFIC INTO TOWN
- MORE \$\$\$
- CLOSE TO 4 SCHOOLS / proposed South

CENTRAL

BENEFITS

- LEAST RESIDENTIAL IMPACT
- GOOD FOR COMMERCIAL DISTRICT
- BETTER ACCESS TO LOCAL STREETS
- MAKE GOOD USE OF WARREN ROAD ALIGNMENT
- BETTER WORKED FOR VALLEY ACCESS
- ~~FAST~~ STRAIGHTER ALIGNMENT

CENTRAL

DRAWBACKS

- ON SOUTH NICHOLS OVER STAY IMPACTS WINCHESTER
- ENV. IMPACTS (VEGETAL PAL NEED)

Feedback for Breakout Group 2 Discussion
 Hemet Public Scoping Meeting
 State Route 79 Realignment Project

Source: Geographics

3

Factors - New Route in Selection

- FINANCIAL ISSUE
- MAKE USE OF EXISTING ROADWAYS / EXISTING RESULTS
- TIME EFFICIENT FACILITY - ASAP
- NOISE & SAFETY - STRAIGHTER ROUTE FEWER CURVES
- DO NOT DISTURB EXISTING DEVELOPMENT DO NOT IMPACT BUSINESSES
- FINANCIAL IMPACT + BUSINESS BUSINESSES
- BE STRATEGIC IN PLANNING THE ROUTE
- SOIL ACCESS TO FUTURE COMMERCIAL DEV.
- CONTINUOUS ACCESS TO EXISTING EAST/WEST ROUTES
- COORDINATE EXISTING + PLANNED DEVELOPMENT

DRAFT (CENTRAL) ALIGNMENT

- DO NOT IMPACT RAILROAD -
- LANDOWNER NOTES (CENTRAL ALT) BISECTS THEIR PROPERTY AND THAT IS OK
- NOT AS DIRECT IN THE SOUTHERN AREA
- USES SANDIEGO ALIGNMENT - Both a good to a bad idea
- EAST SIDE OF WARREN ADJACENT TO WARREN
- (Explorable to Warren)

BENEFITS + DRAWBACKS - DRAFT (WEST)

- HAS LEAST IMPACT ON EXISTING HOMES / DEV.
- FURTHEST FROM EXIST COMMERCIAL DEVELOP
- BISECTS THE COMMUNITY OF WINCHESTER
- DOES NOT USE MUCH OF WINCHESTER
- WOULD PREFER USE OF EXISTING ROADS (EG WINCHESTER)

(EASTON) ALIGNMENT

LIKES THIS ALIGN. DUE TO ACCESS TO EXIST COMMERCIAL

DISRUPTS TOO MANY HOMES (AND NEW HOMES TOO!) ON (WINTER) - AD EMISSIONS NEAR SCHOOLS SHOULD BE AVOIDED

NOISE

Feedback for Breakout Group 3 Discussion Hemet Public Scoping Meeting State Route 79 Realignment Project

Source: Geographics

Important Factors ①

- Directness
- Impact on Property Owners
- ~~WV~~ • Away From Developed Areas
- Sanderson BAD
- Against East Route
 - Homes, Families, Schools Disruption to
- Questions about MUD process - incidental?

WESTERN ALIGNMENT ③

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p><u>good</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ least impact on homes• more direct✓ more scenic✓ least property impacts• less building material✓ safer route• noise/air pollution away• easier right-of-way• build sooner• get trucks out of Hemet• less legal trouble• better freeway route | <p><u>bad</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• impacts on farms open space, creek bed, agriculture• crossing in open spaces• more noise |
|--|--|



Important Factors ②

- Seattle)
• Channel - green frog
Route? Is it still possible?
Bad choice because of exist. environ problems
- ✓ Western Route -
• straight - ~~long~~
• more direct
• rural - less impact
• less developed
• Homes are now being permitted

CENTRAL ALIGNMENT ④

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p><u>good</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• less costly• safer route• better access to developments | <p><u>bad</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• my house is affected• large # of property owners• too circuitous✓ more costly• impact on local roads-M• more protected lands• too many environ issues |
|--|--|

EASTERN ALIGNMENTS

- ✓ (2) good ~~good~~
- No benefits
 - least safe
 - too close to town
 - exact div.
 - school
 - Create more traffic jams
 - avoid frog/shrimp
 - ✓ environ prohibited (Seattle channel)
 - noise
 - new school
 - more crime
 - can't meet goals of directness
 - ✓ cut off HS & other schools from community
 - property values affected

OTHER CONCERNS

- North-South Route for trucks from IO to San Diego
- Get 74 off Florida Ave (back to Hemet)
- Impact on property owners' taxes
- compensation for property owners
- Longest litigation as factor in decision "so we don't have to do this again."
- Road building will not solve congestion

Feedback for Breakout Group 4 Discussion
Hemet Public Scoping Meeting
State Route 79 Realignment Project

5 MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS

- 1 ② - STAY FAR FROM HOMES/SCHOOLS
- ① - MOVING
- 1 ③ - PROP VALUE
- 11 ② - LEAST DISRUPTIVE
- ① - LEAST EXPENSIVE
- ③ - IMPROVE ACCESS
- ① - TRUCK TRAFFIC
- ① - TRAFFIC ON SPADIANA

WESTERN	
PROS	CONS
12 YES	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ② - AVOID SCHOOLS ① - NO HOMES ① - BENEFIT TO WINCHESTER 1 ② - ROUTE OF LEAST RESISTANCE 1 ③ - BEST ROUTE ① - FACILITATES PLANNING 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ① - CLOSE TO WINCHESTER ② - " " " " ROAD ② - CLOSE TO REDDING

CENTRAL	
PROS	CONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CENTRALLY LOCATED - LESS DISRUPTION - LESS COSTLY (FOUR WHEELS) - MORE ACCESSIBLE TO TRUCKS - ALONG DIRECT ROUTE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CONGESTION ON HIGHWAY/INTERCHANGE - IMPACT TO VERMIL Pools - DRAINAGE ISSUES - MORE EARTHWORK NEEDED - ENDANGER AIRPORT

- ### OTHER CONCERNS
- 11 - TAKING TOO LONG
 - CAN'T SELL PROPERTY
 - NEW DEVELOPMENT OCCURRING
 - TIES UP PROPERTY
 - FUTURE REPAIRS
 - WHAT IS BUILDING CONTAINING
 - NOTIFICATION TO NEW HOME BUYERS
 - COUNTY VS. STATE STANDARDS

EASTERN	
PROS	CONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Nobody likes - NONE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NOBODY LIKES 14 - IMPACTS TO HOMES - DISRUPTION - NOISE - POLLUTION 10 - TRAFFIC NEAR SCHOOLS - COSTLY - CRIME - ENDANGER AIRPORT

Feedback for Breakout Group 5 Discussion
Hemet Public Scoping Meeting
 State Route 79 Realignment Project

Source: Geographics